

***Turkish Librarianship***  
***Article Evaluation Form***

Dear Reviewer,

Please review the attached article according to the following evaluation criteria. State your opinions, criticism and suggestions about the article freely and/or by associating them with the criterion numbers in the “General Comments” section.

Your review requested to be returned to the Editorial Board of the journal preferably by e-mail (editor@tk.org.tr) - not later than the deadline to help ensure an effectively running evaluation process.

**Editorial Board**

Title of the article:

Article Evaluation Criteria

\* Note: If any the following criteria does not fit to the article’s status please leave it blank.

	Yes	Partially	No
Is the article original and has contribution to the field?			
Has the subject examined in depth and in a comprehensive manner?			
Is the presentation of the problem appropriate to the purpose and assumptions of the research?			
Is the text adequate, consistent and complete in terms of its structuring and explanation?			
Are the methods and techniques used fit to the purpose and are they sufficient?			
Are the tables and figures adequate and comprehensible?			
Are the results adequately examined in the conclusion section?			
Is the article written in fluent and comprehensible language also does it obey to the grammar rules?			
Are the concepts related to the subject adequate, used correctly and just in place?			
Has the author used the domestic and foreign literature adequately?			
Are the references and citations correct and up to date?			
Is there a consistency between the title of the article and its content?			
Is the information in the abstracts of the article, both in Turkish and English also summary in English, correct and adequate?			
Are the keywords both in Turkish and English used in the article appropriate, parallel and sufficient?			

	Very good	Good	Medium	Poor	Very poor
How would you rate the article in general?					

General comments:

Result of the evaluation:

The article can be published

The article can be published after the author remedies the missing points and corrects faults/mistakes

The article cannot be published

If your answer is "the article can be published after the author remedies the missing points and corrects faults/mistakes";

I would like to examine it again after the author's revision

There is no need for me to review it again after the revision is done by the author

If your answer is "the article cannot be published", do you recommend us to publish it in the other parts of the journal?

Yes

No

Name and surname of the referee:

Signature:

---

Date sent for evaluation:

Deadline date for submission:

Date of return from evaluation:

Date of publication approval: